

1 Rob Bonta  
2 Attorney General  
3 Nicklas A. Akers (CA SBN 211222)  
4 Senior Assistant Attorney General  
5 Bernard Eskandari (SBN 244395)  
6 Emily Kalanithi (SBN 256972)  
7 Supervising Deputy Attorneys General  
8 Nayha Arora (CA SBN 350467)  
9 David Beglin (CA SBN 356401)  
10 Megan O'Neill (CA SBN 343535)  
11 Joshua Olszewski-Jubelirer (CA SBN 336428)  
12 Marissa Roy (CA SBN 318773)  
13 Brendan Ruddy (CA SBN 297896)  
14 Deputy Attorneys General  
15 California Department of Justice  
16 Office of the Attorney General  
17 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000  
18 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004  
19 Phone: (415) 510-4400  
20 Fax: (415) 703-5480  
21 megan.oneill@doi.ca.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State  
of California*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 || People of the State of California, et al.

19 || V.

20 Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC; Meta  
21 Payments, Inc.; Meta Platforms Technologies,  
LLC.

MDL No. 3047

Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448-YGR

**STATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL'S  
UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT  
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**

4:23-cv-05448.

Under Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 7-3(d), the State Attorneys General submit this Unopposed Administrative Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority to bring to the Court’s attention the recent decision in *District of Columbia v. Meta Platforms, et al.*, No. 2023-CAB-006550 (D.C. Super. Ct. Dec. 18, 2024) (attached as Exhibit A). The State Attorneys General submit this decision in support of their arguments raised in the following briefs, among others:

7 (1) State Attorneys General’s Motion for Relief From Nondispositive Pretrial Order of  
8 Magistrate Judge [Dkt No. 1168]; and  
9 (2) State Attorneys General’s Reply in Support of Motion for Relief From  
10 Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge [Dkt No. 1194].

11 The State Attorneys General submit this authority via Administrative Motion rather than a  
12 Statement of Recent Decision because Civil Local Rule 7-3(d) provides that Statements of Recent  
13 Decision must be submitted “before the noticed hearing date.” Civil L.R. 7-3(d)(2). The decision  
14 subject to this Administrative Motion was issued after the completion of briefing and argument  
15 on the pleadings listed above.

17 || DATED: December 19, 2024

/s/ *Bernard Eskandari*

---

Bernard Eskandari

*Attorney for Plaintiff the People of the State  
of California*